

[Rao\* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] IC<sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00





# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

# **EFFECT OF CUTTING PARAMETERS ON THE MULTIPLE RESPONSES**

Ch. Maheswara Rao\*, K. Jagadeeswara Rao, K. Laxmana Rao

Department of Mechanical, Raghu Institute of Technology, Visakhapatnam, India Department of Mechanical, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Srikakulam, India Department of Mechanical, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Srikakulam, India

# **DOI**: 10.5281/zenodo.61505

# ABSTRACT

The present work is to explore the effect of cutting parameters (speed, feed and depth of cut) on the responses in the machining of a medium carbon steel EN8. The experiments were conducted on a conventional lathe using a tungsten carbide tool under dry environment. Taguchi's standard L27 Orthogonal Array has been used for the experiment. Material Removal Rate (MRR) and Surface Roughness (R<sub>a</sub>) were considered as the experimental responses. Taguchi based Grey Relational Grade method has been employed for the optimization of the multiple-responses. From the grey results, the optimal combination of the multiple-responses were found at v3-f3-d3, i.e. speed at 760 rpm, feed at 0.3 mm/rev and depth of cut at 1.5 mm respectively. Main effect plots for Grey Relational Grade (GRG) was drawn using MINITAB-16 software. From the main effect plots, it is found that the main effect is due to speed followed by the depth of cut and feed in affecting the multiple-responses.

**KEYWORDS**: Material Removal Rate (MRR), Surface Roughness (R<sub>a</sub>), Taguchi method, Orthogonal Array (OA), Grey Relational Grade (GRG) method.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Achieving a good surface quality and high productivity are the major challenges in machining processes. Surface quality is related to the surface roughness whereas productivity is related to the material removal rate. High Material Removal Rate can be achieved by increasing the cutting parameters. But, the Surface roughness depends on many conditions like cutting conditions (speed, feed and depth of cut), tool conditions (Tool nomenclature) and material properties (mechanical properties, hardness) etc. Surface Roughness affect many properties like corrosion resistance, wear resistance, fatigue resistance, initial tolerance, ability to hold the pressure, load carrying capacity, noise reduction in gears etc. Medium carbon steel EN8 has high industrial applications in tool, oil and gas industries. EN materials are commonly used for axial shafts, propeller shafts, crank shafts, high tensile bolts and studs, connecting rods, riffle barrels and gears manufacturing, etc.

In the present work, experimentation has been done to know the influence of cutting parameters on the Material Removal Rate (MRR) and Surface Roughness ( $R_a$ ). The experiments were conducted on conventional lathe using Tungsten carbide tool as per the Taguchi's standard L27 Orthogonal Array. For the optimization of multiple-responses Taguchi based Grey method has been employed. Grey relational grade method converts the multiple-objective problem into a single objective problem. Main effect plots for the Grey Relational Grade (GRG) were drawn using the MINITAB-16 software.

# **EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

Medium carbon steel EN8 is considered as the work piece having dimensions of 36 mm diameter and 300 mm of length. The chemical composition and mechanical properties of EN8 steel were given in the tables 1 and 2 respectively. The machining was done on a conventional lathe (spindle speed: 875 rpm and power: 0.75 KW) using Tungsten carbide tool under dry environment. After machining, the surface roughness values were measured with talysurf.



# [Rao\* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] IC<sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00

# ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116

Table 1. Chemical composition of EN8 steel								
Element	С	Mn	Si	S	Р	Cr	Ni	Mo
% Weight	0.36-0.44	0.6-1.0	0.10-0.40	0.05 max	0.05 max	-	-	-

#### Table 2. Mechanical properties of EN8 steel Image: Comparison of EN8 steel

Property	Maximum Stress	Yield	Stress	Elongation	Impact	Hardness
	(18/11111)	(11/11111)		(%)	(J)	(DHIN)
Value	700-850	465		16	28	201-255

# **METHODOLOGY**

In the present work, for the analysis of multi-responses the Taguchi based Grey method has been employed. The Grey relational grade method was invented in 1982 by Deng. It is useful for dealing the problems with poor, insufficient and uncertain information. The theory does not attempt to find the best solution, but provides techniques for determining a good solution. In Grey analysis a multi-objective optimization problem can be converted into a single objective optimization problem. The experiments were conducted as per the standard Taguchi's L27 Orthogonal Array. The selected process parameters with their levels and the L27 Orthogonal Array with the actual experimental values were given in the tables 3 and 4.

#### Table 3. Process parameters with their levels

Parameter	Level-1	Level-2	Level-3
Cutting speed (v), rpm	360	560	760
Feed (f), mm/rev	0.1	0.2	0.3
Depth of cut (d), mm	0.5	1.0	1.5

S No	Speed	Feed	Depth Of Cut		
5.110.	(rpm)	(mm/rev)	(mm)		
1	360	0.1	0.5		
2	360	0.1	1		
3	360	0.1	1.5		
4	360	0.2	0.5		
5	360	0.2	1		
6	360	0.2	1.5		
7	360	0.3	0.5		
8	360	0.3	1		
9	360	0.3	1.5		
10	560	0.1	0.5		
11	560	0.1	1		
12	560	0.1	1.5		
13	560	0.2	0.5		
14	560	0.2	1		
15	560	0.2	1.5		
16	560	0.3	0.5		
17	560	0.3	1		
18	560	0.3	1.5		
19	760	0.1	0.5		
20	760	0.1	1		
21	760	0.1	1.5		
22	760	0.2	0.5		

# Table 4. L27 Orthogonal array

http://www.ijesrt.com

© International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



ICTM Value: 3.00			<b>Impact Factor: 4.116</b>
23	760	0.2	1
24	760	0.2	1.5
25	760	0.3	0.5
26	760	0.3	1
27	760	0.3	1.5

**ISSN: 2277-9655** 

#### Calculation procedure of grey analysis

Step 1: Normalization of experimental results

 $Z_{ij} = \frac{Y_{ij} - \min(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n)}{\max(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n) - \min(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n)};$  for Higher-the-Better characteristic  $Y_{ii} - min(Y_{ii}, i=1, 2, 3, ..., n)$  $Z_{ij} = \frac{\max(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n) - Y_{ij}}{\max(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n) - \min(Y_{ij}, i=1,2,3,...n)};$  for Lower-the-Better characteristic

Step2: Determination of quality loss function

Delta ( $\Delta$ ) = (Quality loss) =  $|y_o - y_{ij}|$ 

Step3: Determination of Grey relational coefficient (GRC)

 $GRC = \frac{\Delta_{\min + \delta \Delta_{max}}}{\delta_{\max}}$ 

 $\Delta_{oi+} \delta \Delta_{max}$ 

 $\Delta_{min}$ = minimum value of  $\Delta_{oi}$ 

 $\Delta_{max}$  = maximum value of  $\Delta_{oi}$ 

 $\delta$  = distinguishing coefficient which is in range of  $0 \le \delta \le 1$  (for turning  $\delta = 0.5$ ) Step 4: Determination of the Grey Relational Grade (GRG) and corresponding Signal-to-Noise Ratios

 $GRG = \frac{1}{m} \sum GRC$ ; where m is the number of responses **Step5:** Determination of the optimal combination of process parameters

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

A series of experiments were done and the results of Material Removal Rate and Surface Roughness values were given in the table 5. The results obtained were analysed by taguchi based grey relational grade method.

Experimental results			
S.No.	MPR	P	
1			
1	11.38	5	
2	21.75	5.7	
3	31.39	4.7	
4	20.74	5.6	
5	38.66	6.2	
6	53.01	7.2	
7	39.38	9.4	
8	69.72	8.9	
9	100.02	5.5	
10	13.7	5	
11	24.3	5.3	
12	34.71	3.8	
13	13.98	5.3	
14	25.8	4.4	
15	36.25	6.9	
16	46.12	9.2	
17	78.5	7.0	
18	92.03	4.4	
19	25.52	2.5	
20	46.32	3.7	

Table 5 Experimental results



**ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116** 

IC<sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00

21	66.26	7.4
22	42.86	5.1
23	78.53	4.0
24	102.46	6.7
25	63.72	8.0
26	117.8	6.9
27	119.6	3.5

The Signal-to-Noise ratios of the responses were calculated using Higher-the-better and Lower-the-better characteristics for Material removal rate and Surface roughness respectively, and the values were given in the table 6.

Table 6. S/N ratios of responses			
S No	S/N Ratios of response	S	
5.110.	MRR	Ra	
1	21.1228	-13.9794	
2	26.7492	-15.1175	
3	29.9358	-13.4420	
4	26.3362	-14.9638	
5	31.7452	-15.8478	
6	34.4872	-17.1466	
7	31.9055	-19.4626	
8	36.8671	-18.9878	
9	40.0017	-14.8073	
10	22.7344	-13.9794	
11	27.7121	-14.4855	
12	30.8091	-11.5957	
13	22.9101	-14.4855	
14	28.2324	-12.8691	
15	31.1862	-16.7770	
16	33.2778	-19.2758	
17	37.8974	-16.9020	
18	39.2786	-12.8691	
19	28.1376	-7.9588	
20	33.3154	-11.3640	
21	36.4250	-17.3846	
22	32.6410	-14.1514	
23	37.9007	-12.0412	
24	40.2111	-16.5215	
25	36.0855	-18.0618	
26	41.4229	-16.7770	
27	41.5546	-10.8814	

Normalization of the experimental results was done using the higher-the-better and lower-the-better characteristics and the values were given in the table 7. Similarly the loss function values were given in the table 8.

Table 7. Grey relational generation values				
S No	Grey Relational Generation			
5.110.	MRR	R <sub>a</sub>		
1	0	0.63768		
2	0.09582	0.53623		
3	0.18490	0.68116		

# Table 7. Grey relational generation values



**ICTM Value: 3.00** 

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116

4	0.08649	0.55072
5	0.25208	0.46377
6	0.38468	0.31884
7	0.25873	0.00000
8	0.53909	0.07246
9	0.81907	0.56522
10	0.02144	0.63768
11	0.11939	0.59420
12	0.21558	0.81159
13	0.02403	0.59420
14	0.13325	0.72464
15	0.22981	0.36232
16	0.32101	0.02899
17	0.62022	0.34783
18	0.74524	0.72464
19	0.13066	1.00000
20	0.32286	0.82609
21	0.50712	0.28986
22	0.29089	0.62319
23	0.62050	0.78261
24	0.84162	0.39130
25	0.48364	0.20290
26	0.98337	0.36232
27	1.0	0.85507

# Table 8. Loss function values

C No	Loss Function	
5.NO.	MRR	Ra
1	1	0.36232
2	0.90418	0.46377
3	0.81510	0.31884
4	0.91351	0.44928
5	0.74792	0.53623
6	0.61532	0.68116
7	0.74127	1.00000
8	0.46091	0.92754
9	0.18093	0.43478
10	0.97856	0.36232
11	0.88061	0.40580
12	0.78442	0.18841
13	0.97597	0.40580
14	0.86675	0.27536
15	0.77019	0.63768
16	0.67899	0.97101
17	0.37978	0.65217
18	0.25476	0.27536
19	0.86934	0.00000
20	0.67714	0.17391
21	0.49288	0.71014
22	0.70911	0.37681
23	0.37950	0.21739

http://www.ijesrt.com

© International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116

IC<sup>™</sup> Value: 3.00

		P
24	0.15838	0.60870
25	0.51636	0.79710
26	0.01663	0.63768
27	0.00000	0.14493

Grey relational coefficient values for the responses were calculated by assuming the distinguishing coefficient ( $\delta$ ) for turning as 0.5 and the values were given in the table 9.

Table 9. Grey relational coefficient values					
S.No.	Grey Relational Coefficient (GRC)				
	MRR	R <sub>a</sub>			
1	0.33333	0.57983			
2	0.35608	0.51880			
3	0.38020	0.61062			
4	0.35373	0.52672			
5	0.40067	0.48252			
6	0.44830	0.42331			
7	0.40281	0.33333			
8	0.52034	0.35025			
9	0.73429	0.53488			
10	0.33817	0.57983			
11	0.36216	0.55200			
12	0.38928	0.72632			
13	0.33876	0.55200			
14	0.36583	0.64486			
15	0.39364	0.43949			
16	0.42409	0.33990			
17	0.56832	0.43396			
18	0.66246	0.64486			
19	0.36514	1.00000			
20	0.42476	0.74194			
21	0.50358	0.41317			
22	0.41353	0.57025			
23	0.56850	0.69697			
24	0.75944	0.45098			
25	0.49195	0.38547			
26	0.96781	0.43949			
27	1.00000	0.77528			

From the grey relational coefficients of the responses, the Grey relational grade (GRG) values are calculated and given in the table 10. Signal-to-Noise ratios for the GRG are calculated using Higher-the-Better characteristic and the ranking is given in the descending order of the GRG values.

	Table 10. Grey relational	grade and their S/IN railos	1
S.No.	GRG	S/N GRG	Rank
1	0.45658	-6.80961	17
2	0.43744	-7.18165	22
3	0.49541	-6.10071	12
4	0.44022	-7.12654	20
5	0.44159	-7.09958	19

# Table 10. Grey relational grade and their S/N ratios



#### [Rao\* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] ICTM Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4 116

ical value. 5.00			Impact ractor.	4.110
6	0.43581	-7.21411	23	
7	0.36807	-8.68131	27	
8	0.43530	-7.22430	24	
9	0.63459	-3.95016	5	
10	0.45900	-6.76376	14	
11	0.45708	-6.80018	16	
12	0.55780	-5.07046	9	
13	0.44538	-7.02539	18	
14	0.50535	-5.92824	10	
15	0.41657	-7.60632	25	
16	0.38200	-8.35880	26	
17	0.50114	-6.0078	11	
18	0.65366	-3.69294	4	
19	0.68257	-3.31706	3	
20	0.58335	-4.68146	8	
21	0.45838	-6.77552	15	
22	0.49189	-6.16269	13	
23	0.63274	-3.97555	6	
24	0.60521	-4.36189	7	
25	0.43871	-7.15636	21	
26	0.70365	-3.05289	2	
27	0.88764	-1.03526	1	
27	0.88764	-1.03526	1	

The graph is plotted by taking the experimental number on X-axis and Grey relational grade on Y-axis using EXCEL and shown in the figure 1. From the figure, it is observed that the optimal combination of the multiple responses was found at the twenty seventh experiment, i.e. speed at 760 rpm, feed at 0.3 mm/rev and the depth of cut at 1.5 mm respectively.



Figure 1. Experiment number Vs GRG

The main effect plots were drawn by taking Cutting parameter levels on X-axis and Grey Relational Grade on Y-axis using the MINITAB-16 software and shown in the figures 2 and 3. From the figures, it is clear that the main effect is due to speed followed by the depth of cut and feed in affecting the multi- responses.



[Rao\* *et al.*, 5(9): September, 2016] IC<sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116



Figure 2. Main effects plots for S/N ratios of GRG



Figure 3. Main effects plots for means of GRG



# [Rao\* et al., 5(9): September, 2016] IC<sup>TM</sup> Value: 3.00 CONCLUSIONS

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116

- [1] From the grey results, the optimal combination of process parameters was found at Speed: 760 rpm, Feed: 0.3 mm/rev and depth of cut: 1.5 mm.
- [2] From the main effect plots for the Grey Relational Grade, it is observed that the main effect is due to the speed followed by depth of cut and feed in affecting the multiple responses.

# REFERENCES

- H.K.Dave, L.S.Patel & H.K.Raval, "Effect of machining conditions on MRR and surface roughness during CNC turning of different materials using TiN coated cutting tools by Taguchi method", International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations 3, pp. 925-930, 2012.
- [2] K. P. Vamsi, B. B Surendra, V. P. Madar and M. Swapna, "Optimizing surface finish in WEDM using the taguchi parameter design method", Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering, vol. XXXII, no.2, pp.107-113, 2010.
- [3] Hari singh & Pradeep Kumar, "Optimizing feed force for turned parts through the Taguchi technique", Sadana, Vol.31, part 6, pp. 671-681, 2006.
- [4] Anirban Bhattacharya, Santanu Das, P.Majumdar and Ajay Batish, "Estimation of the effect of cutting parameters on surface finish and power consumption during high speed machining of AISI 1045 steel using Taguchi design and ANOVA", Prod. Eng. Res. Devel.3, 31, 2009.
- [5] N.E. Edwin Paul, P. Marimuthu, R.Venkatesh Babu, "Machining parameter setting for facing EN 8 steel with TNMG Insert", American International Journal of Research in Science and Technology, Engineering & Mathematics, 3(1), pp.87-92, 2013.
- [6] Vikas, A. Kumar Roy and K. Kumar, "Effect and optimization of machine process parameters on material removal rate in EDM for EN41 material using Taguchi", International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Computer Applications, vol 1, Issue 5, pp. 35-39, 2013.
- [7] Suleiman Abdulkareem, Usman Jibrin Rumah & Apasi Adaokoma, "Optimizing machining parameters during Turning process", International Journal of Integrated Engineering, Vol.3 No.1, pp. 23-27, 2011.
- [8] M.Kaladhar, K. Venkata Subbaiah, Ch.Srinivasa Rao and K.Narayana Rao, "Application of Taguchi approach and utility concept in solving the Multi-objective problem when turning AISI 202 Austenitic Stainless Steel", Journal of Engineering and Technology Review (4), pp.55-61, 2011.
- [9] P.S. Rao, K. Ramji and B. Satyanarayana, "Effect of WEDM conditions on surface roughness: A Parametric optimisation using taguchi method", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Sciences and Technologies, vol. 6, pp. 041 – 048, 2011.
- [10] Pujari Srinivasa rao, Koona Ramji and Beela Satyanarayana, "Effect of WEDM conditions on surface roughness a parametric optimization using taguchi method", International Journal of Advanced Engineering Sciences and Technologies, vol.6, issue 1, pp. 41-48.
- [11] F. Han and J. Jiang, "Influence of machining parameters on surface roughness in finish cut of WEDM", The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, vol. 34, Issue 5-6, pp. 538-546, 2007.
- [12] Hari singh et.al. "Optimizing tool life of Carbide inserts for turned parts using Taguchi's design of experiment Approach", Proceedings of International Multi conference of Engineering and Computer Scientists, Hong Kong, 2008.
- [13] Huang J.T. and Lin J.L., "Optimization of Machining parameters setting of EDM process based on Grey relational analysis with L18 Orthogonal Array", Journal of Technology, 17, pp.659-664, 2002.
- [14] J. Kamal, G. Sandeep and A. Aman, "Simultaneous optimization of material removal rate and surface roughness for WEDM of WCCo composite using Grey relational analysis along with taguchi method", International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations, vol. 2, pp.479-490, 2011.
- [15] M. Tiwari, K. Mausam, K. Sharma and R. Pratap Singh, "Investigate the optimal combination of process parameters for EDM by using a Grey relational analysis", Elsvier journal, Procedia Material Science, vol. 5, pp. 1736-1744, 2014.
- [16] D. Chakadhar and A.Venu Gopal, "Multi objective optimization of electro chemical machining of EN31 Steel by Grey relational analysis", International Journal of Modelling and Optimization, vol. 1, pp.113-117, 2011.